



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,858	07/22/2003	Serguei Belousov	2230.0340000	6544
54089	7590	05/25/2006		EXAMINER
BARDMESSER LAW GROUP, P.C. 910 17TH STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			DOAN, DUC T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2188	

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/624858	Applicant(s) Beloussai et al
	Examiner Duc T. Doan	Art Unit 2188

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Duc T. Doan.

(3) Mano Padmanabhan

(2) George S. Bardmesser

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8/15/06

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant

2) [applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes
If Yes, brief description: _____

e) No:

Claim(s) discussed: Independent claims

Identification of prior art discussed: Ofk

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Mr. Bardmesser explained the differences between the invention and ofk. Examiner explained that the claims as written do not seem to distinguish over prior art and explained his interpretation of ofk.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Mano Padmanabhan
8/15/06

Examiner's signature, if required